For the Northern District of California

27

28

1	1	
2	2	
3	3	
4	4	
5	5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	9 LEVI STRAUSS & CO, et al.,	
10	10 Plaintiffs, No.	C 10-05051 JSW
11		DER EXCLUDING STIMONY
12		STIMONT
13	Defendants.	
14	14	
15	The Court has received and considered Defendants' offer of proof regarding search	
16	engine optimization, and Plaintiff's opposition thereto. The Court concludes that Defendants'	
17	Offer of Proof does not demonstrate that Mr. Papikian's testimony would be relevant to the	
18	issue of whether the Court should issue an injunction or the scope of any such injunction. In	
19	addition, his proffered testimony appears contrary to his responses to discovery requests and	
20	20 deposition testimony.	
21	21 IT IS SO ORDERED.	
22	22 Dated: March 19, 2012	FREY S. WHITE
23		FREY \$7 WHITE ITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
24	24	
25	25 cc: Magistrate Judge Referral Clerk	
26	26	